

# 1 Convexity

## 1.1 Convex Combinations

$$(2, 0), (0, 2)$$

$(1, 1)$  is an average/mixture of these bundles

$$(1.5, 0.5)$$

$$(0.5, 1.5)$$

**Convex Combinations** generalize the mixtures. Gives us all the ways of mixing two bundles together.

$t \in [0, 1]$  the weight put on bundle 1.

$(1 - t)$  the weight on bundle 2.

$t = \frac{1}{2}$  puts equal weight on both. For  $(2, 0), (0, 2)$  results in  $(1, 1)$

$t = \frac{3}{4}$  puts  $\frac{3}{4}$  on bundle 1 and  $\frac{1}{4}$  weight on bundle 2. Results in  $(1.5, 0.5)$ .

$(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(y_1, y_2)$

The weighted average good 1:  $tx_1 + (1 - t)y_1$

The weighted average good 2:  $tx_2 + (1 - t)y_2$

$$(tx_1 + (1 - t)y_1, tx_2 + (1 - t)y_2)$$

## 1.2 Convex Preferences

Any mixture of two bundles you are indifferent between is at least as good as the two original bundles.

If  $(x_1, x_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$

$$(tx_1 + (1 - t)y_1, tx_2 + (1 - t)y_2) \succeq (x_1, x_2)$$

$$(tx_1 + (1 - t)y_1, tx_2 + (1 - t)y_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2)$$

Mixtures are at least as good as extremes.

### 1.3 Examples

$\text{Min}\{x_1, x_2\}$  is convex.

$\text{Min}\{x_1, x_2\}$  is not convex.

(Examples on the board)

Perfect Complements, Perfect Substitutes, Cobb-Douglass, Quasi-Linear preferences are all **convex**.

#### 1.3.1 Geometry of Convex Preferences

A line between two points on any indifference curve will lie on or above the indifference curve.

### 1.4 Well-Behaved

If preferences are rational, **and monotonic and convex**. We say they are **well-behaved**.

Perfect Complements, Perfect Substitutes, Cobb-Douglass, Quasi-Linear preferences are all **well-behaved**.

## 2 Constrained Optimization

### 2.1 Unconstrained Optimization: Flat at the Top

With unconstrained optimization, we just need to find a point where the slope of the function is zero in all directions.

$$100 - (x_1 - 10)^2 - (x_2 - 10)^2$$

At the peak, both of these need to be true:

$$\frac{\partial (100 - (x_1 - 10)^2 - (x_2 - 10)^2)}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial (100 - (x_1 - 10)^2 - (x_2 - 10)^2)}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

Simplifying these, both of these need to be true at the peak:

$$-2(x_1 - 10) = 0, -2(x_2 - 10) = 0$$

$$x_1 = 10, x_2 = 10$$

## 2.2 3 Possibilities for an Optimal Bundle

If preferences are monotonic, the optimal bundle has to be **on the budget line**.

A point on the budget line that is on an indifference curve that crosses into the interior of the budget set **can never be optimal**.

Since this is the case,

Any optimal bundle will be on an indifference that **just touches but does not cross into the interior of the budet set**.

1. The indifference is **tangent** to the budget line. (The slope of the indifference curve and budget line are the same).
2. The optimal bundle is on a “kink” on the indifference curve that just touches the budget line.
3. It is at a boundary where either  $x_1 = 0$  or  $x_2 = 0$

## 2.3 Intuition for Case #1

1. The indifference is **tangent** to the budget line. (The slope of the indifference curve and budget line are the same).

$$MRS = -\frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

$$-\frac{mu_1}{mu_2} = -\frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

Suppose it wasn't true:

$$\frac{mu_1}{mu_2} > \frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

I am willing to give up more  $x_2$  than I have to get more  $x_1$ .

## 2.4 Another Intuition

$$-\frac{mu_1}{mu_2} = -\frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

$$\frac{mu_1}{p_1} = \frac{mu_2}{p_2}$$

Bang-for-buck  $\frac{mu_1}{p_1}$  is how much utility goes up when you spend one more dollar on good 1.

If these aren't equal, I should take money away from the good tht gives me less utility per dollar and put it in to the good that gives me more.

**Cobb Douglass Example**  $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 1, m = 20$  and  $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_2$

What bundle  $(x_1, x_2)$  maximizes utility subject to this budget constraint.

Since we can find the MRS of  $u(x_1, x_2)$ , start with condition 1.

$$MRS = -\frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

$$-\frac{\frac{\partial(x_1x_2)}{\partial x_1}}{\frac{\partial(x_1x_2)}{\partial x_2}} = -\frac{2}{1}$$

$$-\frac{x_2}{x_1} = -\frac{2}{1}$$

Tangency Condition:

$$x_2 = 2x_1$$

Budget Condition:

$$2x_1 + x_2 = 20$$

Two conditions and two unknowns:

$$2x_1 + x_2 = 20$$

Plug in the tangency condition:

$$2x_1 + (2x_1) = 20$$

$$4x_1 = 20$$

$$x_1 = 5$$

Plug this back into the tangency condition:

$$x_2 = 2x_1$$

$$x_2 = 2(5)$$

$$x_2 = 10$$

$$(5, 10)$$

**Perfect Substitutes Example**  $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 1, m = 20$  and  $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$

$$\text{MRS} = -\frac{1}{1} = -1$$

$$-1 = -\frac{2}{1}$$

$$-1 = -2$$

If this happens, you know one the intercepts will be optimal.

$$\left(\frac{m}{p_1}, 0\right), \left(0, \frac{m}{p_2}\right)$$

Which of these give me higher utility?

$$(10, 0), (0, 20)$$

Which gives more utility?

$$u(10, 0) = 10, u(0, 20) = 20$$

$$(0, 20)$$