

1 Cobb Douglass Demand

$$x_1^\alpha x_2^\beta$$

$$\frac{\partial (x_1^\alpha x_2^\beta)}{\partial x_1} = \alpha x_1^{\alpha-1} x_2^\beta = \alpha x_1^\alpha x_2^\beta x_1^{-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial (x_1^\alpha x_2^\beta)}{\partial x_2} = \beta x_1^\alpha x_2^{\beta-1} = \beta x_1^\alpha x_2^\beta x_2^{-1}$$

$$MRS = -\frac{\alpha x_1^\alpha x_2^\beta x_1^{-1}}{\beta x_1^\alpha x_2^\beta x_2^{-1}} = -\frac{\alpha x_1^{-1}}{\beta x_2^{-1}} = -\frac{\alpha x_2}{\beta x_1}$$

$$MRS = -\frac{\alpha x_2}{\beta x_1}$$

$$-\frac{\alpha x_2}{\beta x_1} = -\frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

$$p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 = m$$

Solving these together:

$$x_1 = \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} m}{p_1}, x_2 = \frac{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} m}{p_2}$$

2 One more Slutsky Decomposition

$$x_1^3 x_2^1$$

$$x_1 = \frac{\frac{3}{4} m}{p_1}, x_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{4} m}{p_2}$$

$$m = 480, p_1 = 1, p_2 = 1$$

p_2 increases to $p_2 = 2$.

Find the original bundle:

$$x_1 = \frac{\frac{3}{4}480}{1} = 360, x_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{4}480}{1} = 120$$

(360, 120)

Bundle after the price change:

$$x_1 = \frac{\frac{3}{4}480}{1} = 360, x_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{4}480}{2} = 60$$

(360, 60)

Total effect of the price change is a 60 unit decrease in demand for good 2.

The substitution effect is measured by the consumers change in demand from the original bundle under the new price but if they had **enough income** to buy original bundle.

The cost of the original bundle under the new prices:

$$\tilde{m} = 360 * 1 + 120 * 2 = 600$$

$$p_1 = 1, p_2 = 2, \tilde{m} = 600$$

$$x_1 = \frac{\frac{3}{4}600}{1} = \frac{1800}{4} = 450, x_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{4}600}{2} = \frac{600}{8} = 75$$

(450, 75)

Originally they bought 120 x_2 and they buy 75 under this thought experiment.

$$75 - 120 = -45$$

Since the total effect is a decrease of 60 and the substitution effect is a decrease of 45, the income effect must be a decrease of 15.

3 Buying and Selling

Up until now, income is *exogenous*. "Determined outside of the model".

We want to *endogenize* income. "Make it part of the model. Have income depend on prices."

3.1 Income to Endowments

(income) $m \rightarrow (w_1, w_2)$ (endowments)

An endowment is an amount of x_1 and x_2 that the consumer *starts with*.

x_1 apples, x_2 crusts.

$(w_1, w_2) = (20, 0)$ says “the consumer starts with 20 apples”

$(w_1, w_2) = (0, 10)$ says “the consumer starts with 10 crusts”

The endowments are the “stuff” the consumer brings to market to sell in order to earn their income.

$(w_1, w_2) = (5, 10)$ the consumer starts with 5 x_1 and 10 x_2 .

3.2 The budget equation

$(20, 0)$ how much is this endowment worth?

$$p_1 = 1, p_2 = 1$$

$$20 * p_1 + 0 * p_2 = 20p_1 = 20$$

$$p_1 = 2, p_2 = 1$$

$$20 * p_1 + 0 * p_2 = 20p_1 = 40$$

What can the consumer afford?

$$p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 \leq m$$

Replace m with the value of the consumer’s endowment:

$$p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 \leq p_1w_1 + p_2w_2$$

Budget Line:

$$p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 = p_1w_1 + p_2w_2$$

In the case of $p_1 = 1, p_2 = 1, w_1 = 20, w_2 = 0$

$$x_1 + x_2 = 20$$

Suppose p_1 changes to 2.

$$2x_1 + x_2 = 40$$

3.3 Graphing Budget

The budget line will always include (w_1, w_2) .

Slope of the budget line is $-\frac{p_1}{p_2}$.

When a price changes, the slope of the budget line will change, but the line will pivot through the point (w_1, w_2) .

3.4 Net Buyer/Seller

If $x_i > w_i$ **net buyer** of good i

If $x_i < w_i$ **net seller** of good i

3.5 Gross/Net Demand

(x_1, x_2) “bundle you want” **gross demand**

$(x_1 - w_1, x_2 - w_2)$ **net demand**. Difference between gross demand and endowment.

$(w_1, w_2) = (5, 5), (x_1, x_2) = (10, 0)$

Gross demand is $x_1 = 10, x_2 = 0$

Net demand is $x_1 - w_1 = 10 - 5 = 5, x_2 - w_2 = 0 - 5 = -5$

When net demand is positive, the consumer is a **buyer** of that good.

When net demand is negative, the consumer is a **seller** of that good.

If you are a buyer of one good, you have to be a seller of the other.

3.5.1 Changing Prices and Net Buyers/Sellers

If a consumer is a **seller** of a good, and the price of that good **goes up**:

1. The consumer **remains a net seller**.
2. They are **strictly better off**.

If a consumer is a **buyer** of a good, and the price of that good **goes down**:

1. The consumer **remains a net buyer**.
2. They are **strictly better off**.

3.5.2 Example

$\min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}x_1, x_2 \right\} p_1 = 1, p_2 = 1, w_1 = 120, w_2 = 0$