

## 0.1 Bundling

a form of price discrimination that works when a company sells multiple different “kinds” of things.

<i>Willingness to Pay</i>	Shirt	Pants	Both
Consumer 1	50	30	80
Consumer 2	10	80	90

Suppose you sell pants and shirts separately:

What is the ideal price for shirts?

If they **price at \$10**, both people buy and the **profit is \$20**.

If they **price at \$50**, consumer 1 buys and **profit is \$50**.

What is the ideal price for pants?

If they **price at \$30**, both people buy and the **profit is \$60**

If they **price at \$80**, consumer 2 buys and the **profit is \$80**

The total profit:  $\$50 + \$80 = \$130$ .

What if we don't sell the separately, just a bundle (outfit):

If they price it at \$80, both buy and the **profit is \$160**.

If they price it at \$90, consumer 2 buys and the **profit is \$90**.

Notice, they can earn \$30 more by forcing the consumer to buy a bundle (outfit).

## 0.2 Two-Part Tariff

A form of price discrimination that works when consumers are willing buy multiple units of something.

*Coffee shop.*

Marginal cost is 0 so that for each consumer,  $c(y) = 0$ .

Demand of cups of coffee in a month for each consumer:  $y = 10 - p$ .

Let's focus on the profit per consumer:

Inverse demand:  $p = 10 - y$

$$\pi(y) = y(10 - y)$$

Maximize this function to find the optimal number of cups to try and sell each consumer during a month:

$$\frac{\partial (y(10 - y))}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial (10y - y^2)}{\partial y} = 10 - 2y$$

Profit is maximized where this is zero:

$$10 - 2y = 0$$

$$y = 5$$

How much should they charge. Plug this into the inverse demand:

$$p = 10 - 5 = 5$$

$$\pi(5) = 5 * 5 = 25$$

The optimal two-part tariff here is to charge \$0 for coffee (the shop's marginal cost) this creates \$50 of consumer surplus, which you extract by charging a up-front fee of \$50 for the right to get \$0 coffee.

Theme park tickets, AMC movie membership, Costco,

## 1 Cournot Oligopoly

This a model where we can study market power.

I am going to move from using  $y$  to represent quantity to using  $q$ .

With a monopolist it is clear how price is affected by quantity:  $p(q)$  the inverse demand.

$$\pi(q) = qp(q) - c(q)$$

What about if there are multiple firms?

$N$  firms named  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$

$q_i$  firm  $i$ 's quantity.

Market quantity  $Q = q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_N$

$N = 2, q_1 = 10, q_2 = 10$

Then  $Q = 20$

What is firm  $i$ 's profit?

$$\pi_i(q_i, Q) = q_i p(Q) - c(q_i)$$

## 1.1 Example with Two Firms

Suppose we have  $N = 2$ , the firms are  $\{1, 2\}$

The cost function for each firm is  $c(q_i) = 10q_i$

$$Q = q_1 + q_2$$

Inverse demand:  $p(Q) = 100 - Q$

The profit function of firm 1:

$$\pi_1(q_1, q_2) = q_1(100 - (q_1 + q_2)) - 10q_1$$

$$\pi_2(q_2, q_1) = q_2(100 - (q_1 + q_2)) - 10q_2$$

## 1.2 Best Responses

A firm's **best response** is the optimal choice  $q_i$  given the choice of the other firms.

Firm 1's best response to  $q_2 = 50$

$$\pi_1(q_1, 50) = q_1(100 - (q_1 + 50)) - 10q_1$$

$$= 100q_1 - q_1^2 - 50q_1 - 10q_1$$

$$= 40q_1 - q_1^2$$

Where is the marginal profit zero?

$$\frac{\partial (40q_1 - q_1^2)}{\partial q_1} = 40 - 2q_1$$

$$40 - 2q_1 = 0$$

$$q_1 = 20$$

Firm 1's best response to  $q_2 = 50$  is  $q_1 = 20$

Firm 1's best response to  $q_2 = 10$

$$\pi_1(q_1, 10) = q_1(100 - (q_1 + 10)) - 10q_1$$

$$q_1 = 40$$

Firm 1's best response to  $q_2 = 10$  is  $q_1 = 40$ .

### 1.3 What is a game:

**Players,**

**Strategies (actions),**

**Payoffs (that depend on the strategies of all players).**

#### 1.3.1 Nash Equilibrium

Nash equilibrium requires that all strategies are mutual best responses.

Let's look at  $q_1 = 20, q_2 = 50$

$q_1$  is a best response to  $q_2 = 50$

Is  $q_2 = 50$  a best response to  $q_1 = 20$ ?

$$\{\{q_2 \rightarrow 35\}\}$$

Here, 50 was not a best response to 20. It is **not a Nash equilibrium**

In fact, the only Nash equilibrium of this game is:

$$q_1 = 30, q_2 = 30$$