

$$u_i(t) = 20\sqrt{n * t} - t$$

$$t \rightarrow 100(1000) = 100000$$

0.1 Public Goods Different Preferences

$$u_i(g_i, g_{-i}) = a_i\sqrt{g_i + g_{-i}} - g_i$$

For instance, $a_1 = 10, a_2 = 20$. Then person one cares about the park half as much as person.

Another example:

$$a_1 = 10, a_2 = 20, a_3 = 60.$$

0.2 Equilibrium

The best strategy for person i is to choose the g_i that maximizes their utility subject to the total chosen by everyone else.

$$\frac{\partial (a_i\sqrt{g_{-i} + g_i} - g_i)}{\partial g_i} = 0$$

$$\frac{a_i}{2\sqrt{g_{-i} + g_i}} - 1 = 0$$

$$\frac{a_i}{2\sqrt{g_{-i} + g_i}} = 1$$

$$a_i = 2\sqrt{g_{-i} + g_i}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}a_i = \sqrt{g_{-i} + g_i}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}a_i^2 = g_{-i} + g_i$$

$$g_i = \frac{1}{4}a_i^2 - g_{-i}$$

0.3 Example for Two People

$$a_1 = 10, a_2 = 20$$

$$g_1 = 25 - g_2$$

$$g_2 = 100 - g_1$$

Individually ideal total contributions are 25,100 respectively for person 1 and 2.

In a model with different preferences, in equilibrium the total contributions will be equal to the **highest** individually optimal total contributions and the only people who contribute are those who have the highest individually optimal contributions.

In this case, in equilibrium the total contributions are 100 and 2 contributes everything. $(0, 100)$.

0.3.1 Examples

$$a_1 = 10, a_2 = 20, a_3 = 60$$

$$g_1 = 25 - g_{-1}$$

$$g_2 = 100 - g_{-2}$$

$$g_3 = 900 - g_{-3}$$

In equilibrium, $g = 900$ and 3 contributes everything.

$$(0, 0, 900)$$

$$a_1 = 10, a_2 = 20, a_3 = 60, a_4 = 60$$

$$(0, 0, 600, 300)$$

$$(0, 0, 900, 0)$$

$$(0, 0, 0, 900)$$

$$(0, 0, 450, 450)$$

0.4 Utilitarian Max

What level of contributions are ideal for society? What g maximizes utilitarian welfare.

The utilitarian welfare is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i \sqrt{g_i + g_{-i}} - g_i) \\ & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sqrt{g_i + g_{-i}} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \\ & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sqrt{g} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \\ & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sqrt{g} - \frac{1}{n} g \\ & \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) - \frac{1}{n} g \end{aligned}$$

Call average a_i \bar{a} $\bar{a} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$

For example, when $a_1 = 10, a_2 = 20, a_3 = 60, \bar{a} = 30$.

$$\bar{a} \sqrt{g} - \frac{1}{n} g$$

To maximize this, take the derivative with respect to g

$$\frac{\partial (\bar{a} \sqrt{g} - \frac{1}{n} g)}{\partial g} = \frac{\bar{a}}{2\sqrt{g}} - \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\frac{\bar{a}}{2\sqrt{g}} - \frac{1}{n} = 0$$

$$g = \frac{\bar{a}^2 n^2}{4}$$

This is the utilitarian ideal contributions. We can find the utilitarian ideal tax by dividing this by n .

$$t = \frac{\bar{a}^2 n^2}{4n} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{a}^2 n$$

In this case where $a_1 = 10, a_2 = 20, a_3 = 60$

$$g = 2025, t = 675$$

0.5 Ideal Tax for Each Individual?

$$u_i(t) = a_i \sqrt{n * t} - t$$

Ask person i “what is your favorite tax”?

The answer is whatever t maximizes u_i :

$$t = \frac{1}{4} a_i^2 n$$

$$a_1 = 10, a_2 = 20, a_3 = 60$$

The individually ideal taxes are: 75, 300, 2700 respectively.

Compare this to the utilitarian ideal of 675

$$t = \frac{1}{4} \bar{a}^2 n$$

0.6 Vote

What if you didn’t know what tax was optimal? What if you didn’t know the preferences?

One way would be to ask for preferences and use a social choice function or preference aggregation rule.

Let’s say we wanted to choose between three taxes 75, 300, 2700.

Let’s apply majority rule preference aggregation rule to these taxes.

$$\begin{pmatrix} i = 1 : 75 & 0 & -1800 \\ i = 2 : 225 & 300 & -900 \\ i = 3 : 825 & 1500 & 2700 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let’s put up every tax against every other one in a pairwise vote.

75 vs 300: 300 wins getting votes from 2, 3

2700 vs 300: 300 wins getting votes from 1, 2

75 vs 2700: 75 wins getting votes from 1, 2

$$300 \succ^* 75 \succ^* 2700$$

In fact, 300 would win a pairwise vote against **any other tax** including ones not shown here. In this sense, it is the only tax (outcome) where there is no other outcome preferred by a majority of people.

Here we call 300 the “median voter’s” favorite tax. The median voter’s favorite tax will always be a **condorcet winner** in a public goods model. That is the tax for which there is no other tax a majority of people prefer.

0.7 Median Mechanism

Ask each person "What is your favorite tax?"

Find the median of those numbers and make that the tax.

75, 300, 2700

Not only does this implement the same outcome as the majority rule vote, it does it in a way that is non-manipulable.