

1 Consumer Problem Continued

1.1 Utility Max

$$x_1 x_2, p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \leq m$$

Marshallian Demands.

$$(x_1^*, x_2^*) = \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_1}, \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_2} \right)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{m}{2p_1 p_2}$$

What is the maximum utility given the prices and income? **Indirect Utility.**

$$u(x_1^*, x_2^*)$$

$$V(p_1, p_2, m) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_1} \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_2} = \frac{\frac{1}{4}m^2}{p_1 p_2}$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{4}m^2}{p_1 p_2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \left(\frac{\frac{1}{4}m^2}{p_1 p_2} \right)}{\partial p_1} = -\frac{m^2}{4p_1^2 p_2}$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{4}(2m)^2}{(2p_1)(2p_2)} = \frac{\frac{1}{4}m^2}{p_1 p_2}$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{4}m^2}{p_1 p_2}$$

A function that is homogenous of degree α has the following property:

$$f(tx) = t^\alpha f(x)$$

$$f(tx) = (tx)^2 = t^2 x^2 = t^2 f(x)$$

$$2x_1 2x_2 = 4x_1 x_2$$

Continuous- Due to **Berge's** Theorem of the Maximum

Homogenous of degree zero in prices and income.

Increasing in m and decreasing in p_1, p_2, \dots

Quasi-convex in p, m - **If I take a budget that is a convex combination of two other budgets, the utility I can achieve cannot be better the best of the two budgets.**

$$(p_1, p_2, m) : (4, 2, 20), (2, 4, 20)$$

$$(3, 3, 20)$$

Envelope Condition:

$$(x_1 x_2) - \lambda (p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 - m)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial p}$$

$$\frac{\partial ((x_1 x_2) - \lambda (p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 - m))}{\partial p_1}$$

$$-\lambda x_1$$

$$\lambda$$

$$\frac{\partial V(p_1, p_2, m)}{\partial p_1} = -\lambda x_1$$

$$\frac{\partial V(p_1, p_2, m)}{\partial m} = \lambda$$

$$-\frac{\frac{\partial V}{\partial p_i}}{\frac{\partial V}{\partial m}} = -\frac{-\lambda x_1}{\lambda} = x_1$$

1.2 Properties of Indirect Utility

For U that is continuous and strictly increasing, the Indirect Utility Function v has the Following Properties:

1. Continuous.
2. Homogeneous of degree zero in prices and income.
3. Strictly increasing in m and weakly decreasing in p .
4. Quasi-convex in (p, m) .
5. Roy's Identity. $-\frac{\frac{\partial v}{\partial p_i}}{\frac{\partial v}{\partial m}} = x_i^*$ (An envelope condition.)

1.3 Cost Min Example

Minimize the cost of utility u with x_1x_2

Min $p_1x_1 + p_2x_2$ subject to $x_1x_2 \geq u$

$$\mathcal{L} = (p_1x_1 + p_2x_2) + \mu(u - x_1x_2)$$

$$\frac{\partial((p_1x_1 + p_2x_2) + \mu(u - x_1x_2))}{\partial\mu} = u - x_1x_2$$

$$\frac{\partial((p_1x_1 + p_2x_2) + \mu(u - x_1x_2))}{\partial x_1} = p_1 - \mu x_2$$

$$\frac{\partial((p_1x_1 + p_2x_2) + \mu(u - x_1x_2))}{\partial x_2} = p_2 - \mu x_1$$

$$u = x_1x_2$$

$$x_1 = \frac{\sqrt{p_2}\sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{p_1}}, x_2 = \frac{\sqrt{p_1}\sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{p_2}}, \mu = \frac{\sqrt{p_1}\sqrt{p_2}}{\sqrt{u}}$$

Hicksian demands. What are the x_1, x_2 we need to minimize the cost of achieving utility u .

$$x_1^h = \frac{\sqrt{p_2}\sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{p_1}}$$

$$x_2^h = \frac{\sqrt{p_1}\sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{p_2}}$$

Pick an income m . Solve for $V(p_1, p_2, m)$. Then $x_1^h(p_1, p_2, V(p_1, p_2, m)) = x_1(p_1, p_2, m)$

Duality:

$$x_1^h(p_1, p_2, V(p_1, p_2, m)) = x_1(p_1, p_2, m)$$

$$x_1 = \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_1}, V(p_1, p_2, m) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_1} \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_2}, x_1^h = \frac{\sqrt{p_2}\sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{p_1}}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{p_2}\sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_1} \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_2}}}{\sqrt{p_1}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_1}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{p_2}\frac{1}{2}m\sqrt{\frac{1}{p_1} \frac{1}{p_2}}}{\sqrt{p_1}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_1}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{p_2}\frac{1}{2}m}{\sqrt{p_1}\sqrt{p_1}\sqrt{p_2}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_1}$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_1} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{p_1}$$

Another form of duality:

$$x_1^h(p_1, p_2, u) = x_1(p_1, p_2, E(p_1, p_2, u))$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{p_2}\sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{p_1}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}E(p_1, p_2, u)}{p_2}$$

Here we need the “Expenditure function” this is the value of $p_1x_1 + p_2x_2$ evaluated at the hicksian demands.

$$\begin{aligned} E(p_1, p_2, u) &= p_1 \frac{\sqrt{p_2}\sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{p_1}} + p_2 \frac{\sqrt{p_1}\sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{p_2}} \\ &= 2\sqrt{p_1}\sqrt{p_2}\sqrt{u} \end{aligned}$$

1.4 Properties of Expenditure Function

For U that is continuous and strictly increasing, the Expenditure Function e has the following properties:

1. Continuous.
2. For $p \gg 0$, strictly increasing and unbounded above in u .
3. Increasing in p .
4. Homogeneous of degree 1 in p .
5. Concave in p .
6. Shephard's lemma. When x_i^h is single valued, $-\frac{\partial e}{\partial p_i} = x_i^h$

1.5 Slutsky Equation

$$x_i(p, e(p, u)) = x_i^h(p, u)$$

$$\frac{\partial(x_i(p, e(p, u)))}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial x_i^h(p, u)}{\partial p_j}$$

$$\frac{\partial(x_i(p, e(p, u)))}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial x_i^h(p, u)}{\partial p_j}$$

$$\frac{\partial(x_i(p, y))}{\partial p_j} + \frac{\partial(x_i(p, y))}{\partial y} \frac{\partial e}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial x_i^h(p, u)}{\partial p_j}$$

$$\frac{\partial(x_i(p, y))}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial x_i^h(p, u)}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial(x_i(p, y))}{\partial y} x_j^h$$

1.5.1 Slutsky Equation: $\frac{\partial(x_i(p, y))}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial(x_i^h(p, \bar{u}))}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial(x_i(p, y))}{\partial y} x_j^h$.

1.5.2 Negative Own-Substitution Effects

1.5.3 Elasticity

Income Elasticity $\eta_i = \frac{\frac{\partial x_i}{x_i}}{\frac{\partial y}{y}} = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y} \frac{y}{x_i}$

Price and Cross-Price Elasticity $\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{\frac{\partial x_i}{x_i}}{\frac{\partial p_j}{p_j}} = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_j} \frac{p_j}{x_i}$.

1.5.4 Elasticity Relations

The share-weighted elasticities with respect to good i is the negative of i 's share: $-s_i = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varepsilon_{j,i}$
The share-weighted income elasticities sum to 1: $1 = \sum_{j \in I} s_j \eta_j$

2 More Complex Optimization Examples

2.1 Some Examples with Multiple Constraints

Maximize $x_1 x_2$ subject to (1) $(x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 10$ and (2) $2x_1 + x_2 \leq 40$.

Maximize $x_1 x_2$ subject to (1) $(x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 10$, (2) $2x_1 + x_2 \leq 15$.