

## 0.1 Expected Utility Redux

### 0.1.1 Representing $\succsim$ with utility function.

Completeness, Transitivity, **Continuity** (every gamble is indifferent to some gamble over the best and worst outcome), **Monotonicity** (Gambles over the best and worst outcome that put a strictly higher probability on the best outcome are strictly better).

$$g \sim (\alpha \circ a_1, (1 - \alpha) \circ a_n)$$

Suppose  $g \succsim g'$

$$(\alpha \circ a_1, (1 - \alpha) \circ a_n) \sim g \succsim g' \sim (\alpha' \circ a_1, (1 - \alpha') \circ a_n)$$

$$(\alpha \circ a_1, (1 - \alpha) \circ a_n) \succsim (\alpha' \circ a_1, (1 - \alpha') \circ a_n)$$

$$\alpha \geq \alpha'$$

### 0.1.2 Linear structure of utility function.

Under two additional assumptions.

**Substitution** (in any compound gamble, we can replace some sub-gamble with an indifferent one, and the result is indifferent to the original compound gamble), **Reduction** (every gamble is indifferent to its induced simple gamble)

Let's suppose we have  $a = \{0, 5, 10\}$ .

Because of continuity we can turn each of these outcomes into a gamble over the best and worst outcome.

$$10 \sim (1 \circ 10, 0 \circ 0)$$

$$\alpha_{10} = 1$$

$$5 \sim (\alpha_5 \circ 10, (1 - \alpha_5) \circ 0)$$

$$\alpha_5$$

$$0 \sim (0 \circ 10, 1 \circ 0)$$

$$\alpha_0 = 0$$

$$g = \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \circ \left( \frac{1}{2} \circ \left( \frac{3}{4} \circ 10, \frac{1}{4} \circ 0 \right), \frac{1}{2} \circ 5 \right) \right), \left( \frac{1}{2} \circ 5 \right) \right)$$

By reduction  $g \sim g_1$

$$g_1 = \left( \frac{3}{16} \circ 10, \frac{3}{4} \circ 5, \frac{1}{16} \circ 0 \right)$$

By **continuity** and **substitution** we replace each outcome with a gamble over the best and worst outcome and we have  $g_1 \sim g_2$ .

$$g_2 = \left( \frac{3}{16} \circ (1 \circ 10, 0 \circ 0), \frac{3}{4} \circ (\alpha_5 \circ 10, (1 - \alpha_5) \circ 0), \frac{1}{16} \circ (0 \circ 10, 1 \circ 0) \right)$$

By reduction  $g_2 \sim g_3$

$$g_3 = \left( \frac{3}{16} (1) + \frac{3}{4} (\alpha_5) + \frac{1}{16} (0) \circ 10, \left( 1 - \frac{3}{16} (1) + \frac{3}{4} (\alpha_5) + \frac{1}{16} (0) \right) \circ 0 \right)$$

By transitivity  $g \sim g_3$

What probability of the best outcome is associated with  $g$ ?

$$\alpha_g = \frac{3}{16} (\alpha_{10}) + \frac{3}{4} (\alpha_5) + \frac{1}{16} (\alpha_0)$$

Since preferences are continuous and monotonic, we know we can use  $u(a) = \alpha_a$  to represent preferences. If we do this, then  $u(g)$  **has to be the expected utility of the outcomes of that gamble**.

$$u(g) = \frac{3}{16} (1) + \frac{3}{4} (\alpha_5) + \frac{1}{16} (0)$$

$$u(g) = \frac{3}{16} u(10) + \frac{3}{4} u(5) + \frac{1}{16} u(0)$$

### 0.1.3 Transformations.

Suppose the consumer is an expected utility maximizer with utility over outcomes  $\{0, 5, 10\}$   $u(0) = 0, u(5) = 0.5, u(10) = 1$ .

$$g = \left( \frac{1}{2} \circ \left( \frac{3}{4} \circ 10, \frac{1}{4} \circ 0 \right) \right), \left( \frac{1}{2} \circ 5 \right)$$

$$\sim \left( \frac{3}{8} \circ 10, \frac{1}{2} \circ 5, \frac{1}{8} \circ 0 \right)$$

$$u(g) = \frac{3}{8}u(10) + \frac{1}{2}u(5) + \frac{1}{8}u(0)$$

$$u(g) = \frac{3}{8}1 + \frac{1}{2}0.5 + \frac{1}{8}0$$

$$u(g) = 0.625$$

Now suppose we use an affine transformation.

$$u' = 2u(g) + 1$$

What if we apply this to  $u(g) = 0.625$ ?  $u'(g) = 2 * 0.625 + 1 = 2.25$

$u'(10) = 3, u'(5) = 2, u'(0) = 1$ . Does the expected utility property hold?

$$u(g) = \frac{3}{8}(3) + \frac{1}{2}(2) + \frac{1}{8}(1) = 2.25$$

**The expected utility property still holds.**

Now suppose we use a non-affine transformation.

$$u' = u(g)^2$$

What if we apply this to  $u(g) = 0.625$ ?  $u'(g) = 0.625^2 = 0.390625$

$u'(10) = 1, u'(5) = 0.25, u'(0) = 0$ . Does the expected utility property hold?

$$u'(g) = \frac{3}{8}(1) + \frac{1}{2}(0.25) + \frac{1}{8}(0) = 0.5$$

## 0.2 Example

Suppose a consumer is a expected utility maximizer with utility of wealth equal to:  $v(w) = w^2$

$$v'(w) = 2w^2 + 5$$

$v'$  retains expected utility property because

$$av(w) + b$$

If they satisfy 1 – 6 there is some utility function with the expected utility property.

We still have to be told what it is.

### 0.3 Lexicographic Preferences over Gambles

A consumers preferences are that a gamble is better if it's induced simple gamble gives a higher probability to the best outcome. Otherwise, if they give the same probability to the best outcome, then it is preferred if it gives a higher probability to the second best outcome....

$$(0.8 \circ 10, 0.1 \circ 5, 0.1 \circ 0) \succ (0.7 \circ 10, 0.2 \circ 5, 0.1 \circ 0)$$

$$(0.8 \circ 10, 0.2 \circ 5, 0.0 \circ 0) \succ (0.8 \circ 10, 0.1 \circ 5, 0.1 \circ 0)$$

### 0.4 Two Measures of Risk Preferences and Differential Equations

If a consumers the utility of a gamble is less than the utility of the expected outcome of a gamble, we say the consumer is **risk averse**. There are several measures of "how" risk averse someone is.

**Relative Risk Aversion:**  $-\frac{wv''(w)}{v'(w)}$

What is this?

How does something change in percentage terms when something else changes 1%?

Relative risk aversion tells us how much the marginal utility for wealth decreases and wealth goes up by 1%

$$\frac{\partial(v'(w))}{\partial w} \frac{w}{v'(w)} = \frac{v''(w)w}{v'(w)}$$

$$\frac{v''(w)w}{v'(w)} = c$$

What are all the utility functions that give CRRA?

$$DSolve[w * v''[w]/v'[w] == c, v[w], w]$$

Mathematica gives us this:

$$\left\{ \left\{ v(w) \rightarrow \frac{c_1 w^{c+1}}{c+1} + c_2 \right\} \right\}$$

This tells us all the CRRA utility functions are affine transformations of something like this (where  $c$  is the measure of constant relative risk aversion) by setting  $c_1 = 1$  and  $c_2 = 0$ :

$$v(w) = \frac{w^{c+1}}{c+1}$$