

1 Indifference Curves - Slope

The slope of an indifference curve measures relatively how much x_2 would the consumer give up to get a little bit of x_1 .

$$u(x_1, x_2) - \bar{u} = 0$$

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

The slope of an indifference curve is called the **Marginal Rate of Substitution** and is measured by the ratio of partial derivatives:

$$MRS = -\frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}}$$

2 More Preference Assumptions

Lexicographic preferences are complete and transitive yet there is no utility function for them.

2.1 Continuous \succsim .

Preferences are continuous if and only if the upper and lower contour sets are closed. **Continuous \succsim .** A relation \succsim is continuous if and only if $\forall x \in X, \succsim(x)$ & $\precsim(x)$ are closed in X . **Continuous Representation.** Continuous U exists that represents $\succsim \Leftrightarrow \succsim$ is continuous, complete and transitive.

2.2 U is Ordinal.

Since preferences are ordinal but we represent them with a cardinal utility function, that utility functions cardinality is meaningless.

Perfect substitutes preferences: finn compares bowls of ice cream by counting the number of scoops of ice cream. More is better.

$$(2, 2) \succ (1, 1)$$

$$u(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$$

$$u(2, 2) = 4, u(1, 1) = 2$$

This seems to imply that finn likes (2, 2) two times more than (1, 1).

$$\tilde{u}(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2)^2$$

$$\tilde{u}(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 + 10$$

$$\tilde{u}(x_1, x_2) = \ln(x_1 + x_2)$$

This utility function represents the same preferences.

Since $f(u) = u^2$. $\tilde{u}(x_1, x_2) = f(u(x_1, x_2))$

We say u represent \succsim when $u(x) \geq u(y) \Leftrightarrow x \succsim y$

$$f(u(x)) \geq f(u(y)) \Leftrightarrow u(x) \geq u(y) \Leftrightarrow x \succsim y$$

Any monotonic (increasing function) of a utility function represents the same preferences are the original.

2.3 When is U Cardinal?

Finn preferences for ice cream.

$$(2, 2) \sim (4, 0)$$

$$(1, 1) \sim (2, 0)$$

$$(3, 7) \sim (10, 0)$$

$$u(2, 2) = 4$$

$$u = x_1 x_2$$

$$(2, 3) \sim (z, z)$$

$$(4, 1) \sim (2, 2)$$

$$(1, 1) \sim (1, 1)$$

$$u = (x_1 x_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$u(4, 1) = 2$$

$$(4, 1) \sim (2, 2)$$

3 Other Preference Assumption

“Well behaved”.

3.1 Monotonicity.

More is better.

(Monotonic) Weak Monotonicity. $x \geq y \Rightarrow x \succsim y$ and if $\forall i, x_i > y_i$ then $x \succ y$.

$$(2, 2) \succsim (2, 1)$$

$$(2, 2) \succ (1, 1)$$

$$(2, 1) ? (1, 2)$$

Monotonicity only applies (only has teeth) when comparing bundles where one has weakly more of everything.

$$x \geq y \Leftrightarrow x_i \geq y_i \forall i$$

$$(2, 2) \geq (1, 1)$$

$$(1, 1) \geq (1, 1)$$

$$(2, 1) \geq (1, 1)$$

$$(1, 2) ? (2, 1)$$

$$x > y \Leftrightarrow \forall i, x_i \geq y_i \ \& \ \exists i, x_i > y_i$$

$$x \gg y \Leftrightarrow x_i > y_i \forall i$$

This definition is equivalent to:

Strict Monotonicity. $x \geq y \Rightarrow x \succ y$ and if $x \geq y$ and there is some i such $x_i > y_i$ then $x \succ y$.

$$(2, 1) \succ (1, 1)$$

Strict monotonicity requires $(2, 1) \succ (1, 1)$. However, weak monotonicity only implies that $(2, 1) \succsim (1, 1)$ which allow $(2, 1) \sim (1, 1)$.
Local Nonsatiation. $\forall x \in X$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists x^* \in B_\varepsilon(x) \cap X$ such that $x^* \succ x$.
This ensures the consumer will always consumer on the boundary of the budget set.

3.1.1 Convexity

Convex Preferences. \succsim is **convex** if $x \succsim x' \Rightarrow t(x) + (1-t)x' \succsim x', t \in [0, 1]$.

Strictly convex Preferences: if $x \succsim x' \Rightarrow t(x) + (1-t)x' \succ x', t \in (0, 1)$.

Convexity of Contours. $\succsim(x)$ is convex if and only if \succsim is a convex preference relation. $\succsim(x)$ is strictly convex if and only if \succsim is a strictly convex preference relation

Quasiconvexity of U . If \succsim is represented by U , then \succsim is (strictly) convex if and only if U is (strictly) quasi-concave.

3.1.2 Homotheticity

Homotheticity. $\forall x, y \in X, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : x \succsim y \Rightarrow tx \succsim ty, .$ **Parallel along rays.** If u is homothetic and differentiable, $\frac{\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i}}{\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_j}} = \frac{\frac{\partial u(tx)}{\partial x_i}}{\frac{\partial u(tx)}{\partial x_j}}$.